### COMPINENTIAL CAIALIDEM LIVE

Approved For Release 2000/09/07 : CIA-RDP62S00346A00010@1/10002-3

Assistant Mirector for December and Reports

**19 becauter** 1950

THEU, : Chief, Ecumule Research, ORR Chief, Motorials Division, GRR

Comments on Soviet Grain Production Statistics

- On 15 December 1955, Murusbehev gave a report on agriculture to the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. The speech gave absolute data on grain production in 1952, 1953, and 1955 and an annual average for 1949-1953 inclusive. This was the first time in about 20 years that there has been any announcement of absolute grain production for the whole USSA on a barn yield basis. I thought you might be interested in a comparison of these ead certain other derived figures with estimates made by the food and Agriculture Eranch.
- With the data given us in the Khrushchev speech, we can also develop claimed grain production figures for 1950, 1951, 1954, 1955, and 1956 by applying to the Khrushchev data an index which appeared in Recodnogo Khozyayatvo, UNSR, 1956. We can develop a claimed figure for 1957 by using a relative given as in the 1957 plan fulfillment report. The results, together with H/AC estimates for the same years, are given below. I would like to note that each of the M/AC estimates was made shortly after the end of the crop year to which it applied, and is not second guessing based on later information, for until the Uhrushchev speech we had no such information.

#### Grain Production in the USSR

## (Million metric tons)

Tear	Soviet Claim	W/S Estimate
1990	82	45
1951	80	<b>©</b>
3952	92	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1953	<b>53</b>	<b>3</b>
1954	36	97
1955	101	103
1956	129	115
1997	304	190
1.35%	CONTIDENTIAL	123 (preliminary)

## PURENTAL

## Approved For Release 2000/09/07: CIA-RDP62S00346A000100110002-3

SUBJECT: Comments on Soviet Grain Production Statistics

- 3. Some fear has been expressed at times that H/AG estimates of Saviet grain production tend to be highly conservative, and that they probably understate the actual Soviet schievement. It will be noted, however, that except in 1956 and 1958, the H/AG estimates agree closely with Soviet claims.
- artimate is more apparent than real. The situation in 1956 was a highly trusted one. We actually credit the WER with a barn yield of 12% million tons, as compared with the Soviet claim of 129 million tons. In that year, however, the Soviets suffered extraordinary post-hervest grain losses because of the inability of the Soviets to handle the basper hervest in the Hew Lands. We therefore reduced the 12% million tons to 115 million tons to allow for the extraordinary losses, and to make the 1956 estimate comparable with that of previous years. We are confident that substantial losses in fact occurred, and that Khrushobov's figure does not take them into secount.
- 5. For 1956, the figure which M/AG has been prepared to accept up to now is some 15 million tone less then the claim made by Ehrusbehev. It must be mid at the cutset that the Khrushchev claim falls within the range of creditability; 1950 was a good agricultural year in the Soviet Union and we have stated on a number of occasions that the grain burwest would probably set a new record. Monetheless, we would almost certainly have finally settled upon a figure lower than the one assounced by Shrushchev and the Eurushchev claim must be considered surprising. For one thing, on 29 August, Mukhitskinov, Secretary of the Central Committee of the CPSU, stated that the USSR would have a grain barvest of "not less than" 131 million tone. Even with the not less than clause, it is quite imposed for the Soviete to make this conservative a statement as late as the end of August about a harvest whose ultimate size is claimed to be 39 million toms. Moreover, subsequent to August, the usual boosting which accompanies a record hervest was conspicuously absent from the press. In addition, Soviet press articles during the harvesting season discussed the serious hervesting difficulties caused by unsessonal rain-Eall in the New Lands. Our own weather information establishes that there was in fact unseasonal rainfall which must have bespered the hervest. Prior to the occurrence of these weether difficulties, we were thinking in terms of a harvest of 130 million tons. Finally, in his speech, Ehrunbelev claimed an average corn yield for the USER of about 35 bushels per sore in 1950. This emperes with an average for the ES for the years 1947 to 1956 (the decede having the highest corn yield in US history) of some 39 bushels yer core; caring this period, the lowest UE yield was 23.4 bushels per acre in 1947, and the bighest 45.4 bushels per erre in 1996. In 1997 the Us yield was 46.8 bushels per acre. In the light of these US statistics, the Soviet claim of an average yield of 35 bushels is considered highly suspect. Recause of their lack of a corn belt

# CONFIDENTIAL DP62S00346A000100110002-3

SUBJECT: Comments on Soviet Grain Production Statistics

exemparable to care, and their lack of saitable hybrid corn, the Soviets should certainly not be doing as well relative to us as the Marushchev claim implies. According to Soviet sources, the only corn production data included in the over-ell grain production index is that for ripe ears and immeture emailed ears converted to a grain base. We have reason to wonder, however, in the light of the relatively high Soviet claim, whether the conversion is made accurately and whether the Soviets might not also be including corn in the form of green folder.

6. This means that we must do considerably more thinking about the 1950 harvest claim. All we can do at the present time is to present the comparative figures for 1950 shown above and to suggest some of the reasons sky we are uneasy about the Bowlet claim.

25X1A9a

distribution:

Orig. and 1 -n AD/RR 1 - Ch/E' 2 - D/M

de: SI/AG CSEJ